

Appl. No. 10/710,434  
Amdt. dated 10 January 2007  
Reply to Office action of 10 October 2006

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-5 have been withdrawn and claims 6-10 have been added. Claims 6-10 are now pending in this application. No new matter has been entered.

In the October 10, 2006 office action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1-5 have been withdrawn and claims 6-10 have been added. As such, reconsideration is requested.

The Examiner has also objected to the drawings under 37 CFR §1.83(a) because the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. 37 CFR §1.83 also states “[h]owever, conventional features disclosed in the description and claims, where their detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, should be illustrated in the drawing in the form of a graphical drawing symbol or a labeled representation (e.g., a labeled rectangular box). Since applicant's specification contains an adequate written description so as to enable one skilled in the art to understand as well as make and use the embodiments of the claimed subject matter, applicant has complied with the requirements of 37 CFR §1.83(a). Reconsideration of this requirement is also requested.

Next, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by an article found in the prior art entitled “Merry Cookies; sample the latest batch of winning recipes in CNY's annual holiday contest.” Applicant respectfully disagrees with Examiner's characterization of the prior art as the statement of wrapping six cookies with plastic and tying

Appl. No. 10/710,434  
Amdt. dated 10 January 2007  
Reply to Office action of 10 October 2006

them with a ribbon and affixing a card would not enable someone to make and use the claimed subject matter.

Lastly, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by an article found in the prior art entitled "The Bear Basics of Gift Wrapping." Applicant again respectfully disagrees with Examiner's characterization of the prior art. Here, the elements of a bear wrapped with wrap in a bag and tied with a ribbon with a cookie cutter and ornament would not enable someone to make and use the claimed subject matter.

Applicants believe they have responded to all of the concerns raised by the Examiner and reconsideration is respectfully requested. If Examiner has any questions about the present response, a telephone interview is requested. No additional fees are due.

Respectfully submitted,

CAROL ELISE PAWLAK.

/MichaePEddyPTO#42505/

Dated: January 10, 2006

By: MICHAEL P. EDDY  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 42,505

LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL P. EDDY  
12526 High Bluff Drive, Ste. 300  
San Diego, California 92130  
Telephone: (858) 345-1098  
Facsimile: (858) 777-5453